	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/717,759	SCHULTZ ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kenneth E. Peterson	3724
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):	
(1) <u>Kenneth_E. Peterson</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>John Mortimer</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 September 2005.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's represe	entative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 1.		
Identification of prior art discussed: Accu-cut, Evans.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached.	h)
Substance of Interview including description of the gener reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed possible and contains some of the non-elected subject matter</u> , so long	nendments. Examiner ma	ny consider an amendment that
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendments	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OF FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMEN Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse	he last Office action has a R THE MAILING DATE O T OF THE SUBSTANCE	already been filed, APPLICANT IS OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY OF THE INTERVIEW. See
• •		
	1	2
		ENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner	's signature, if required

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)